

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addres: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Dex 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/839,407 04/23/2001 Carol Lefebvre Du Grosniez 5473 2411

7590

06/18/2003

BREINER & BREINER 115 North Henry Street P.O. Box 19290 Alexandria, VA 22320-0290 EXAMINER
CHEVALIER, ALICIA ANN

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1772-

DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			
	Application N .	Applicant(s)	-I
Office Action Summary	09/839,407	DU GROSRIEZ ET	AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alicia Chevalier	1772	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommon if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature to the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	I.  1.136(a). In no event, however, may  pply within the statutory minimum of  id will apply and will expire SIX (6) N  ute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. IONTHS from the mailing date of this contained the contained	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u>	3 Anril 2003		
<u> </u>	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		natters prosecution as to the	morito in
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			inents is
4) Claim(s) 21-30 is/are pending in the application	tion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-30</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ acc	epted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examine	r. ·
If approved, corrected drawings are required in r			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received in	Application No	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International E</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	).	Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes			application).
a) The translation of the foreign language p 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes  Attachment(s)	rovisional application has	been received.	
<u> </u>	<b>∧</b> □	O	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) 🔲 Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s of Informal Patent Application (PTO	
5. Patent and Trademark Office TO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Office A	Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 8	

### RESPONSE TO AMENDMENT

### **NEW REJECTIONS**

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 21-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shulz (4,320,162) in view of Curry at al. (3,954,554) or Clark et al. (5,698,291) or Merker (6,214,146).

Schulz discloses an absorbent paper product comprising at least two superposed plies of a format with edges, a peripheral zone along the edge, and a central zone enclosed by said peripheral zone, wherein the plies are joined together by marking patterns (deep embossments) at least along a segment of the peripheral zone, and wherein at least two of said at least two superposed plies has an embossing pattern (shallow embossments) in the central zone. The narrowest parts of the embossing patterns point inwardly between the at least two plies. The marking patterns (deep embossments) are solely in the peripheral zone. The embossment and marking patterns include filigrees and the central zone of one ply has a decoration including embossed and unembossed portions. See figures 5, 6, and 8 and column 2, lines 32-44.

Schulz fails to disclose the plies being bonded together without adhesive.

Curry discloses a method of bonding paper webs (plies) together without adhesive (col. 1, lines 5-57).

Art Unit: 1772

Clark discloses a method of crimp bonding multiple ply fibrous cellulosic materials without adhesive (col. 1, line 17 to col. Col. 6, line 29).

Merker discloses a method thermally bonding fibrous webs (plies) together without adhesive (col. 1, lines 5-13 and col. 2, line 31 to col. 4, line 2).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use one of the methods disclosed by either Curry, Clark or Merker to bond the plies on Schulz together. One of ordinary skill would be motivated to use one the methods disclosed by Curry, Clark or Merker because it would avoid stiffing of the fibrous sheets which is associated with using adhesives to bond fibrous sheets together.

### ANSWERS TO APPLICANT'S ARGUMENTS

3. Applicant's arguments filed in paper #7 regarding rejections previously of record have been carefully considered but are most due to the new grounds of rejection.

#### Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 1772

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alicia Chevalier whose telephone number is (703) 305-1139. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. The Examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays

If attempts to reach the Examiner are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached by dialing (703) 308-4251. The fax phone number for the organization official non-final papers is (703) 872-9310. The fax number for after final papers is (703) 872-9311.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose phone number is (703) 308-0661.